UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,692	05/15/2007	Hye Won Lee	Q96956	9037	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 07/09/201 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER			
	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	CHEN, CATHERYNE			
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1655		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/09/2010	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Office Action Summary		<b>A</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/598,692	LEE ET AL.	LEE ET AL.			
		E	xaminer	Art Unit				
		C	CATHERYNE CHEN	1655				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	ication appea	rs on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIAN SIDE OF THE MASSIAN SID	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a unication. ututory period will a will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUNICATI  a). In no event, however, may a reply be  apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fruse the application to become ABANDO	ON.  timely filed  multiple timely filed  mul	·			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>02 <i>April</i></u>	<u> 2010</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)∏ This ac	ction is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1,5-7 and 9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1 and 5-7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
	on Papers							
9)□ -	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		ted or b)⊡ objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/10.	TO-948)	4)  Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:					

Art Unit: 1655

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Amendments filed on April 2, 2010 has been received and entered.

Currently, Claims 1, 5-7, and 9 are pending. Claims 1 and 5-7 are examined on the merits. Claims 2-4, and 8 are canceled.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakai (JP 2002326920 A) in view of Bourriot et al. (FR 2811997 A1) and Abe et al. (US 6197318 B1).

Sakai teaches emulsion composition for cosmetics containing 0.5 weight parts mannan and 0.5 weight parts xanthan gum to obtain an oil-in-water emulsion with weight parts of 202, which is total of all the compositions (Abstract). Weight parts can be converted to ratios, which give ratio of 1:1 for xanthan gum to mannan. 0.5 weight part out of 202 is about 0.4%. Because the composition and amounts are taught, the composition will have a lumpy texture and viscosity of a curd yoghurt formulation.

However, Sakai does not teach starch in a ratio of up to 10 times to 1 weight of combined xanthan gum and mannan and pack formulation.

Bourriot et al. teaches composition for cosmetic formulation with starch at 10-50 wt% (Abstract). 10 parts of starch to 1 part of xanthan gum and mannan will be 10 times to 1 weight of combined xanthan gum and mannan.

Abe et al. teaches external-use compositions as cosmetics such as packs (column 16, lines 47-48, 54-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use xanthan gum and mannan with starch because starch is a thickener that can be used to increase the viscosity of the xanthan gum and mannan composition for cosmetic use. One would have been motivated to make starch into

xanthan gum and mannan cosmetic composition for the expected benefit of thickening a composition for application to skin. Absent evidence to the contrary, there would have been a reasonable expectation of success in making the claimed invention from the combined teachings of the cited references.

Page 4

The reference also does not specifically teach formulating the composition in pack form claimed by applicant. Abe et al. teaches external-use compositions as cosmetics such as packs (column 16, lines 47-48, 54-55). These pharmaceutical forms are well known in the art to be acceptable means of administering a pharmaceutically active substance. Based on this knowledge, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation that formulating the composition taught by the references in the claimed forms would be successful. Therefore, an artisan of ordinary skill would have been motivated to formulating the composition taught by the reference in the forms claimed by applicant.

Claims 1 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakai (JP 2002326920 A), Bourriot et al. (FR 2811997 A1), and Abe et al. (US 6197318 B1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Contamin (EP 315541 A) and Kitchencraftsnmore

(http://web.archive.org/web/20031208031644/http://kitchencraftsnmore.net/bath3.html).

The teachings of Sakai, Bourriot et al., and Abe et al. are set forth above and applied as before.

The combination of Sakai, Bourriot et al., and Abe et al. do not specifically teach the yoghurt powder and strawberry.

Contamin teaches cosmetic composition with lyophyilized yoghurt or kehir for application to skin to improve smoother and softer skin, while improving firmness and storage for along time (Abstract).

Kitchencraftsnmore teaches 2 tablespoons of plain yogurt with ½ teaspoon of lemon juice (page 1, Aging Skin Fighter) and 1 tablespoon of yogurt with a few strawberries are blended in a food process or blender and applied to the face (page 2, Almonds and Beeries Facial Mask).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use xanthan gum and mannan with yoghurt powder because yoghurt powder can be used to increase the firmness and improve smoothness and softness for cosmetic use. One would have been motivated to make yoghurt powder into a cosmetic composition with xanthan gum and mannan for the expected benefit of a composition for application to skin. Absent evidence to the contrary, there would have been a reasonable expectation of success in making the claimed invention from the combined teachings of the cited references.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use xanthan gum and mannan with strawberries as a cosmetic composition because strawberries contain fragrance that can be used to add a pleasant smell for cosmetic use. One would have been motivated to put strawberries into xanthan gum and mannan cosmetic composition for the expected benefit of a pleasant

Art Unit: 1655

smelling composition for application to skin. Absent evidence to the contrary, there would have been a reasonable expectation of success in making the claimed invention from the combined teachings of the cited references.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CATHERYNE CHEN whose telephone number is (571)272-9947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9-5 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,692 Page 7

Art Unit: 1655

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Catheryne Chen Examiner Art Unit 1655

/Michele Flood/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655